

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/328,183 06/08/1999		OVERTON L. PARISH IV	27889-00037	6471
759	90 06/06/2002			
Stanley R. Moore		EXAMINER		
Jenkens & Gilchrist P.C. 1445 Ross Avenue			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK	
Suite 3200 Dallas, TX 75202-2799			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 24 DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION
THE	E PERIOD FOR REPLY:
a) will expire months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted).
	Expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action.
extens	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate sion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply sally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.
□∌	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
₽ A	pplicant's reply to the final rejection, filed $\frac{5/23/02}{}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance.
1.12	The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a .	There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b.	They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c.	They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d.	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e.	☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	TE: The proposed amendments raise new
	issues that would require further
	Consideration and/or search
2.	Newly proposed or amended claimwould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3.	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claim allowed:
	Claims objected to: MANA
	Claims rejected: 1-4, 6, 8-11 ank 2/-37
	However;
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
	☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
	D'Other The IDS filed 2/15/02 has been considered and a copy is attached.
	Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Chritisher attendon